

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILIT | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/616,085 | 07/08/2003 | | Saikumar Jayaraman | 2207/ 1212002 | 9663 | |
| 7590 06/27/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| Kenyon & Kenyon | | | | KEEHAN, CHRISTOPHER M | | |
| Suite 600 333 W. San Ca | rloc Street | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| San Jose, CA 95110 | | | | 1712 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2009 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | · m |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| 10/616,085 | JAYARAMAN ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Christopher M. Keehan | 1712 |

| | Christopher M. Keehan | 1712 | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | | • | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: | on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on the MENTHE FOR CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FOR | of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE | OWT NIHTIW C |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any solution of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further contained. | , but prior to the date of filing a brie pnsideration and/or search (see NC | ef, will <u>not</u> be entered l DTE below); | pecause |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.º | | ejected claims. | , — |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | 121. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended. | vill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-25</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida | Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1440) Pager | No(e) | |
| 13. Other: | | AVID J. BUTTNER | |
| - | DO: | IMARY EXAMINER | |
| | 1 | | |

DandButter

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment concerning the molecular weight of the curing agent was not present in the previous claims.